Permit applications for culvert/water crossing renewal/replacement must demonstrate that:

- Flood risk upstream and downstream is not increased and the flow regime in the watercourse is not altered
- No degradation to the river upstream or downstream (erosion/scour, sediment deposition)

If the watercourse is morphologically active or adjacent to unstable soil/slope, site-specific study must confirm that there are no outstanding slope stability or structural protection issues. Minimum requirements for like-for-like replacement and a new or any deviation from the existing water crossing structure are provided below.

## Like-for-like replacement:

*Mississippi Valley* **Conservation Authority** 

- Dimensions, elevations (upstream and downstream inverts), are to be maintained.
- Road profile should be the same as existing or higher (not overtopped during the 100-year flood event).
- Inlet and outlet details (headwall, wing wall/projections, etc.) should be the same as existing conditions or hydraulically more efficient.

## If there are any deviations from the existing structure, or a new culvert/water crossing structure is being proposed, the following must be provided:

| Supporting Technical Requirements     |  |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Hydrologic &<br>Hydraulic<br>Analyses | <ul> <li>Drainage area, channel geometry, and topographic survey</li> <li>Design flow for sizing the structure* to assess the impacts of the proposed design on adjacent lands, structure and relief flow on the roadway</li> <li>Headwater/tailwater elevations for inlet and outlet control conditions, including maximum allowable headwater elevation and freeboard</li> <li>Flow velocities for all floods up to and including regulatory flood (specifying inlet and outlet flow velocity, velocity within the culvert, and maximum allowable velocity)</li> </ul>   |
| Design<br>Considerations              | <ul> <li>Proposed crossing location, width, and alignment should be aligned with existing stream morphology</li> <li>Duration of work, details of installation and sequencing, dewatering/maintaining the flow Design should address any issues related to the degradation of the watercourse, wetland, etc., and include mitigation measures</li> <li>Design should maintain or enhance fish passage where possible</li> <li>Department of Fisheries and Oceans and Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources should be consulted in regard to impacts to fish and fish habitat</li> </ul>  |
| Engineering<br>Drawings               | <ul> <li>Site plan with the location of the proposed works and relevant dimensions, including culvert size and length, stream width, etc.</li> <li>Dimensions of the existing and proposed structure (size, shape, length, and slope)</li> <li>Inlet or outlet-controlled structure details (tailwater elevation at the design flow for outlet-controlled structure), road profile, etc.)</li> <li>Detailed plan and profile of the proposed design (soffit/low chord elevation, upstream and downstream invert elevations)</li> <li>Existing and proposed road profiles and development regulation limit</li> <li>Dewatering and flow diversion plan including coffer dam details, bypass pumping locations</li> <li>Erosion and sediment control (both temporary and permanent measures) including discharge of dewatered area downstream, site stabilization/restoration, monitoring, etc.</li> </ul> |
| Qualified<br>Persons                  | - Signed and stamped by a qualified professional engineer licensed in the Province of Ontario  |

\* refer to MTO's Drainage Design Manual (1998), Highway Drainage Design Standards (2008), and Design Flood Criteria (Directive B-100)